

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

**JABIAS D. JONES,**

**Plaintiff,**

**V.**

**WILLIAM E. SICKING, C/O US BANK  
NATIONAL FDIC #6548; NIKKI  
MUNDKOWSKY, ASSOCIATE  
JUDGE; AMBER MARTINEZ,  
ASSISTANT D.A/CHIEF OF CPS  
UNIT; AND CHARLES LEVY,**

## Defendants.



**CIVIL NO. W-23-CV-00392-ADA**

## ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Jeffrey C. Manske. ECF No. 22. The report recommends that the above styled and numbered cause be dismissed without prejudice and that Defendant Martinez's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 20) be **DENIED AS MOOT**. The report and recommendation was filed on November 3, 2023.

A party may file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, thereby securing *de novo* review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). As of today, neither party has filed objections.


When no objections are timely filed, a district court reviews the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note (“When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on

the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”). The Court has reviewed the report and recommendation and finds no clear error.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Manske (ECF No. 22) is **ADOPTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the above styled and numbered is dismissed without prejudice and Defendant Martinez’s Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 20) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

**SIGNED** this 14th day of December, 2023.

  
ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE